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## PROJECT TEAM

- Noel Cruz - Project Manager/Materials Engineer
- Lauren Stadelmeier - Conference Captain/Safety Engineer
- Wendy Clark - Scheduling Engineer
- Sarah Higgins - Design Engineer



## PROJECT BACKGROUND

- "A comprehensive, student-driven project experience from conception and design through fabrication, erection, and testing"
- Sponsored by:
- American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC)
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)
- Pacific Southwest ASCE Conference (PSWC)
- Model built for the country of Kuprica

PSWC 2015


## PROJECT CLIENT, STAKEHOLDERS,TECHNICAL ADVISOR



Client:
Mark Lamer, P.E.


Technical Advisor:
John Tingerthal, P.E.

Stakeholders<br>- Citizens of Kuprica<br>- NAU ASCE-Student Chapter<br>- Mark Lamer, P.E.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- I:IO scale model requested to compete for contract
- Best performing model will build full-scale bridge
- Bridge to span Nogo River in Kuprica
- Field Conditions
- Organic soil conditions
- Long tropical rainy season
- Construction during dry season


Figure I:Tropical river similar to Nogo River [1]

## TECHNICAL CONSTRAINTS

- Constraints Established from Rules
- Steel
- Max Bridge Dimensions: 5'(H) $\times 5^{\prime}(\mathrm{W})$
- Members cannot exceed 3 'x6" $\times 4$ "
- Maximum construction time (45 minutes)
- Penalties applied as weight or time
- Judged on aesthetics, construction economy, stiffness, structural efficiency


Figure 2: Bridge Envelopes, developed using SketchUp

## BROADER IMPACTS

## Fictional Impacts

- Increased commerce in Kuprica
- Transport of building materials
- Causeway
- Temporary detours


## Actual Impacts

- Established and furthered relations with sponsors
- Provided mentorship to future members of the steel bridge team
- Set a precedent for quality of project
- Generated excitement and support for the project
- Represented NAU in a regional competition


## TRUSS DESIGN ALTERNATIVES



CAMELBACK


ARCHWITH MID DECKING


UNDER ARCH


BOWSTRING


WARREN


TRUSSWITH ARCH

## DECISION MATRIX

| Criteria | Arch with Mid <br> Decking | Camelback | Truss with <br> Arch | Warren | Bowstring with <br> Crosses | Under Arch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength (25\%) | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | 5 |
| Lightness (30\%) | 3 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 2 |
| Aesthetics (10\%) | 5 | 3 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3 | 4 |
| Constructability (20\%) | 2 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 3 | 2 |
| Fabrication (15\%) | 4 | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 3 |
| Final Score | 3.65 | 4.3 | 2.25 | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | 2.6 | 3.1 |

Table I: Decision Matrix

## TRUSS ANALYSIS: MEMBER SIZING

- Iterative process used to determine member sizing
- Limit of two member sizes for simplicity
- Selected Members
- Standard $3 / 4$ " Pipe ( 203 LF)
- Standard 1/2" Pipe (I02 LF)


Figure 3:Various steel cross-sections [2]

## TRUSS ANALYSIS: RISA 2D



## CONNECTION ANALYSIS: BOLTS

## Bolt Sizing

- Bolt size based on:
- Pipe outer diameter
- Gusset plate thickness
- Handling ease
- Bolt size: $5 / 16$ " with $1-1 / 2$ " thread length


## Bolt Edge Distances

- Bolt spacing determined per AISC
- Edge spacing:AISC J3.3 (0.75")
- Bolt hole to bolt hole:AISC T.J3.4 (I.0")


Figure 4: Bold edge distances, developed using AutoCAD

## CONNECTION ANALYSIS: BEARING CAPACITY

## Knowns:

- Max tension: 2,I00 lbs
- Max compression: I,976 lbs
- Plate thickness = 5/16"
- Bolt diameter $=5 / 16 "$


## Assumptions:

- Plate strength: 65,000 psi
- Bolt strength: I50,000 psi


Figure 5: Gusset connections [3]

## CONNECTION ANALYSIS: BEARING CAPACITY

- Calculated Bearing Stress:
- I0,750 psi
- $\phi R_{\mathrm{n}}$ (Connection Strength)
- $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=2.4 \times$ Tension $\times$ Bolt Area
- $\phi=0.75$ (For single bolts)
- $\phi \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{II}, 426 \mathrm{psi}$


Figure 6:Welded gusset connections [3]

## I00\% DESIGN PLANS - ELEVATION



## I00\% DESIGN PLANS - DECKING AND CROSS BRACING



## I00\% DESIGN PLANS - CROSS SECTIONS



MID-SPAN CROSS SECTION


END-SPAN CROSS SECTION

## I00\% DESIGN PLANS - CONNECTIONS





## FABRICATION



Figure 7:Wendy Clark and Cody Elliot Welding [3]
[3] Pictures provided by Steel Bridge Team


Figure 8: Noel Cruz cutting slots [3]


Figure 9: Lauren Stadelmeier cutting members [3]


Figure 10:Wendy Clark cutting gussets [3]

## PSWC CONFERENCE COMPETITION - CONSTRUCTION



Figure II: Bridge construction [3]


Figure 13: Bridge construction [3]
[3] Pictures provided by Steel Bridge Team


Figure 12: Bridge construction [3]


Figure 14: Bridge construction final product [3]

## PSWC CONFERENCE COMPETITION - LOADING



Figure 15: Lateral load test [3]


Figure 17: Vertical load test [3]

Figure 16: Vertical load test [3]

## PSWC CONFERENCE COMPETITION- RESULTS

- Build Time: 42.36 min
- Lateral Deflection: 0 in
- Load Held: 2,I00 lbs
- Penalties
- Dimensional: |
- Tool Drops: I5
- Time penalties: 3


Figure 18: Bridge failure [3]

## EXPLANATION OF FAILURE

- Fabrication error led to moment in top chords
- Little deflection prior to failure
- Decking still fully intact and operational
- Cross bracing on top was reduced due to construction time restraints



## PROJECT PERSONNEL HOURS

| Position | Hours |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project Manger | 287 |
| Design Engineer | 275 |
| Safety Engineer | 311 |
| Scheduling Engineer | 298 |
| Intern | 300 |
| Total Hours | $\mathbf{1 4 7 I}$ |

- Design: 200 hours
- Fabrication: 750 hours
- Remaining 52I hours allocated to meetings, documents, etc.
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